IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

TUESDAY, THE 20TH MARCH 2007 / 29TH PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 9440 of 2007(W)

PETITIONER:
---

1. V.CHELLAYYAN CHETTIAR,
MULAKAL VADAKATHIL, OLIYAKOVIL P.O.,
KOLLAM (PC Q 3215 KOLLAM, KILIKOLLUR PS (RTD).

2. K.BHASKARA PILLAI, ASHA BHAVAN,
KOTTANKARA, CHANDANA THOPPU P.O., KOLLAM,
(PC 3513 VIGILANCE, PATHANAMTHITTA (RTD).

3. B.MANIRAJAN,
M.L.COTTAGE, NILAMEL P.O., KOLLAM,
(PC Q 3984 KOLLAM, KADAKKAL PS (RTD).

4. S.THANKAPAN,
KADUKKAVILA VEEDU, PULIPPARA, PANGODE P.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
(PC 3581 EROOR PS (RTD).

5. P.NARAYANA PILLAI,
MELE KOIPURAM HOUSE, MITHIRMALA P.O.,
(PC T 6198 KILIMANOOR PS (RTD).

6. P.SREEDHARAN PILLAI,
SREE BHAVAN, PERUMANCHIRA,
KAZHAKOOTTAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
(PC 6429 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM VALIYATHURA PS(RTD).

BY ADV. SRI.B.RAGUNATHAN
SRI.V.V.MATHEW
SRI.G.S.MOHANDAS

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
HOME DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695 001.

2. DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
POLICE HEAD QUARTERS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 010.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SHRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION A/W W.P(C) 9456/2007 ON 20/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

WP(C)Nos. 9440 & 9456 OF 2007

Dated this the 20th March, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The grievance of the petitioners in these two writ petitions is the same. They are disposed of by this common judgment.

2. The petitioners states that they are retired personnel from the Police Department who had rendered service initially in the Malabar Special Police III Battalion and on disbandment had worked as members of the Central Reserve Police Force. Thereafter they got appointment as Constables in the Armed Reserve Police. Some of them had rejoined the Malabar Special Police itself. The claim made by the petitioners is for service benefits reckoning the period of service they had rendered in the Malabar Special Police during the initial period of their service. It is contended that persons similarly situated had approached this Court and that their claim to reckon their service in the Malabar Special Police was upheld by this Court.

3. Ext.P7 representation filed by the petitioners in both these writ petitions before the 2nd respondent is intended to get similar benefits conferred on these petitioners also. Ext.P7 is pending with the second

respondent. Counsel for the petitioners submits that the second respondent has got a duty to look into the judgments and various orders referred to in the representations and to take decision in accordance with law.

4. I have heard Govt. Pleader for the respondents.

5. In the circumstances there shall be an order directing the second respondent to consider Ext.P7 and pass orders in accordance with law within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment. Writ petitions are disposed of with the above directions.

The petitioners shall produce copy of the judgment along with copy of the writ petition before the second respondent for information and compliance.

K.K.DENESAN
Judge

jj